poorly defined, but consistent with the known *trans* configuration of the pyridine N atoms in the structure of dpyam (Johnson & Jacobson, 1973). The sulfate anion is also disordered about a centre of symmetry, with a SOF = 0.5. The O atoms can be separated into two poorly defined interpenetrating tetrahedra. The uncoordinated water molecule is also disordered, SOF = 0.5.

The square-based pyramidal distorted trigonal bipyramidal CuN₄N' chromophore (I) has been observed previously in the cations of [Cu(bpy)₂(NH₃)][BF₄]₂ (Stephens, 1972) ($\tau = 0.77$) and [Cu(bpy)₂(NCS)][BF₄] (Tyagi & Hathaway, 1981) ($\tau = 0.60$) and in a series of cation distortion isomers of the [Cu(bpy)₂Cl]⁺ cation (Harrison *et al.*, 1981) ($\tau = 1.0-0.60$). These have been used to suggest a structural pathway from a regular trigonal bipyramidal ($\tau = 1.0$) to a distorted square-based pyramidal ($\tau = 0.0$) stereochemistry (Hathaway, 1984) and suggest that (I) forms a point in a more extensive ($\tau = 0.31$) structural pathway for the CuN₄N' chromophore.

The ESR spectrum of (I) is isotropic, $g_i = 2.083$, and gives no information on the electronic ground state of the copper(II) ion, due to the misalignment of the local molecular axes (Hathaway, 1984) of the two differently orientated CuN₄N' chromophores $(2\gamma = 90^{\circ})$ in (I). The electronic reflectance spectrum of (I) has two almost equally intense peaks at 10 630 and 14 230 cm⁻¹, $\Delta E = 3600$ cm⁻¹, consistent with its stereochemistry, and similar to the electronic spectra of the comparable structure in the series of cation distortion isomers of the [Cu(bpy)₂Cl]²⁺ cation (Harrison *et al.*, 1981).

The authors acknowledge the award of a senior studentship (to PA), help in data collection by Dr M. McPartlin and Mr A. Bashall (Department of Applied Chemistry and Life Sciences, Polytechnic of North London, Holloway Road, London), the Computer Bureau (UCC) for computing facilities, Professor G. M. Sheldrick, Drs P. Roberts, S. Motherwell, K. Henrick and K. Davies, for the use of their programs, and the Microanalysis Section (UCC) for analysis.

References

- Addison, A. W., NAGESWARA RAO, T., REEDJIK, J., VAN RIJN, J. & VERSCHOOR, G. C. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1349–1356.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, pp. 71, 148. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- DAVIES, E. K. (1980). CHEM-X. Molecular graphics program developed and distributed by Chemical Design Ltd, Oxford.
- FULLER, C. C. & JACOBSON, R. A. (1981). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 48, 191–197.
- HARRISON, W. D., KENNEDY, D. M., POWER, M., SHEAHAN, R. & HATHAWAY, B. J. (1981). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1556-1564.
- HATHAWAY, B. J. (1984). Struct. Bonding (Berlin), 57, 55-118.
- HATHAWAY, B. J. (1987). Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry. The Synthesis, Reactions, Properties & Applications of Coordination Compounds. Editor in Chief G. WILKINSON, FRS; Executive Editors R. D. GILLARD & J. A. MCCLERVERTY, Vol. 5, section 53, pp. 533-774. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- HENRICK, K. (1980). PUBTAB. Program to prepare and print crystallographic tables for publication.
- JOHNSON, J. E. & JACOBSON, R. A. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 1669–1674.
- RAY, N. & HATHAWAY, B. J. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 3224-3229.
- ROBERTS, P. & SHELDRICK, G. M. (1979). XANADU. Program for the calculation of crystallographic data. Univ of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- STEPHENS, F. S. (1972). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1350-1353.
- TYAGI, S. & HATHAWAY, B. J. (1981). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2029–2033.

Acta Cryst. (1991). C47, 89-92

Structural Characterization of Two Hafnium(IV) Complexes, HfCl₄(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂PPh₂).2C₇H₈ and HfCl₄(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂)

BY F. ALBERT COTTON,* PIOTR A. KIBALA AND WILLIAM A. WOJTCZAK

Department of Chemistry and Laboratory for Molecular Structure and Bonding, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

(Received 30 May 1990; accepted 2 July 1990)

Abstract. (1) [1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane-P,P']tetrachlorohafnium(IV) bistoluene solvate,

ane-[HfCl₄(C₂₆H₂₄P₂)].2C₇H₈, $M_r = 903 \cdot 01$, monoclinic, vate, C2/c, $a = 11 \cdot 340$ (3), $b = 18 \cdot 243$ (6), $c = 19 \cdot 661$ (3) Å, $\beta = 103 \cdot 96$ (2)°, V = 3947 (3) Å³, Z = 4, $D_x = 1 \cdot 519$ g cm⁻³, λ (Mo K α) = 0.71073 Å, $\mu =$

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

0108-2701/91/010089-04\$03.00

© 1991 International Union of Crystallography

 30.0 cm^{-1} , F(000) = 1800, T = 294 K, R = 0.035 for2079 observed reflections. (2) [1,3-Bis(diphenylphosphino)propane-P,P']tetrachlorohafnium(IV), [Hf- $Cl_4(C_{27}H_{26}P_2)$], $M_r = 732.76$, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, b = 14.117 (2), c = 17.028 (4) Å, V = 2847 (3) Å³, Z = 4, $D_x =$ a = 12.596(5), $\beta = 109.91 (2)^{\circ},$ 1.710 g cm^{-3} λ (Mo K α) = 0.71073 Å, $\mu =$ 41.4 cm^{-1} , F(000) = 1432, T = 294 K, R = 0.049 for1509 observed reflections. In both compounds the coordination around Hf is distorted octahedral with P atoms cis to each other forming five- or sixmembered chelate rings. The mean Hf-Cl and Hf—P distances are 2.374(1) and 2.808(2) Å, and 2.372 (15) and 2.823 (2) Å for (1) and (2), respectively. In both compounds the Hf-Cl distances for the Cl atoms trans to the P atoms are somewhat shorter than the other Hf-Cl distances. The P-Hf-P angles for (1) and (2) are 74.80(5) and $78.5(2)^{\circ}$, respectively, consistent with the size of the chelate rings.

Introduction. During the course of a synthetic program directed towards systematic investigation of coordination compounds of Group IV metals with halides and phosphines, especially those that contain metal-metal bonds, we have synthesized and structurally characterized two adducts of hafnium tetrachloride with bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane, $HfCl_4(dppe).2(toluene)$, and 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane, $HfCl_4(dppp)$. Due to the paucity of structural information on complexes of this type we report this work here.

Experimental. Both compounds were synthesized by reacting stoichiometric amounts of hafnium tetrachloride and the appropriate phosphine in toluene at room temperature. The resulting solutions were layered with hexanes and allowed to stand for several days to give colorless X-ray-quality crystals. The crystals were sealed with epoxy cement in glass capillaries. Intensity data for (1) were collected at variable scan speeds $(3-30^{\circ} \text{ min}^{-1})$, which depended on a pre-scan count with a skip option, using the movingcrystal/moving-counter technique. Three standard reflections ($\overline{2}08$, $\overline{351}$ and $\overline{2}02$) were measured every 100 reflections and displayed an average decrease of 30.3% during data collection. This decay was corrected for by the use of an isotropic decay correction. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and for absorption effects based on ψ scans using the empirical method of North, Phillips & Matthews (1968). At the beginning of the data collection for (2) six azimuthal scans were collected and later used to correct for absorption. Intensity data were collected at constant speed of $8^{\circ} \min^{-1}$ with a variable number of scans (max. = 3), which was determined on the basis of optimum counting statis-

Table 1. Crystallographic data for (1) and (2)

<i>hkl</i> : $h + k = 2n + 1$ <i>h0l</i> : $k = 2n + 1$ $0.55 \times 0.45 \times 0.45$ Syntex <i>P3</i> 25, 20 < 20 < 30	h0l: h + k = 2n + 1 0k0: k = 2n + 1 $0.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$ Rigaku AFC5R								
$0.55 \times 0.45 \times 0.45$ Syntex P3 25.20 < 26 < 30	$0.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$ Rigaku AFC5 <i>R</i>								
Syntex P3 25, $20 < 2\theta < 30$	Rigaku AFC5R								
25, 20 < 20 < 30	Rigaku AFC5 <i>R</i>								
25, 20 < 20 < 50	25, $20 < 2\theta < 30$								
ω-2θ	ω-2θ								
$4 \le 2\theta \le 45$	$4 \le 2\theta \le 40$								
$0 \le h \le 12$	$0 \le h \le 14$								
$0 \le k \le 19$	$0 \le k \le 15$								
$-20 \le l \le 20$	$-18 \le l \le 18$								
2410	2225								
2079	1509								
213	307								
0.999, 0.848	0.999, 0.576								
0.03531	0.04890								
0.04788	0.05658								
1.030	1.235								
0.09	0-03								
0.54, -0.40	1.38, -0.54								
$*w = 1/\sigma^2(F_o).$									
	$ \begin{aligned} \omega_{-2\theta} & \\ 4 \leq 2\theta \leq 45 \\ 0 \leq h \leq 12 \\ 0 \leq k \leq 19 \\ -20 \leq l \leq 20 \end{aligned} $ $ 2410 \\ 2079 \\ 213 \\ 0.999, 0.848 \\ 0.03531 \\ 0.04788 \\ 1.030 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.54, -0.40 \\ y = 1/\sigma^2(F_{\theta}). \end{aligned} $								

tics $[F/\sigma(F) = 30]$, using the moving-crystal/movingcounter technique. Three standard reflections $(5\overline{4}1,$ 466 and $\overline{281}$), measured every 150 reflections, displayed an average decrease of 64.3% during the data collection. This decay was corrected for by the use of an isotropic decay correction. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. All other information relating to data collection and structure refinement of (1) and (2) is summarized in Table 1. In each case the positions of Hf, P and Cl atoms were determined by direct methods (SHELXS86, Sheldrick, 1986) and the remainder of the atoms were located and refined by alternating difference Fourier maps and least-squares cycles employing the Enraf-Nonius (1979) Structure Determination Package (SDP). Atomic scattering factors were those of SDP. All atoms were refined anisotropically. H atoms were not included. The final difference Fourier map for (2) showed five peaks near hafnium of height between 1.33 and 0.91 e Å⁻³. The remaining peaks were randomly located and had heights of < 0.85 e Å⁻³. No disorder or other nonroutine problems arose for either of the compounds.

Discussion. The positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters for (1) and (2) are given in Table 2, while Table 3 presents selected interatomic bond distances and angles.* The structures of the hafnium tetrachloride-phosphine adducts are depicted in Figs. 1 and 2 where the atom-numbering

^{*} Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and complete bond lengths and angles have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53374 (30 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 2. Positional and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters and their estimated standard deviations

Table 3. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°); the numbers in parentheses are e.s.d.'s in the least significant digits

of numerous organometallic species of which $ZrMe_4(dmpe)_2$ and $Zr(CH_2Ph)_4(dmpe)$ were structur-

ally characterized (Girolami, Wilkinson, Thornton-

Pett & Hursthouse, 1984). Adducts of formula

 $ZrCl_4L_2$ ($L = PR_3$; R = Et, Pr and *n*-Bu) (Wengro-

vius, Schrock & Day, 1980) have been mentioned but

.

$B_{eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j B_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$				HfCl₄(dppe).2C ₇ H ₈								
	· ·	17	7	$R(^{\lambda^2})$	Hf	Cl(1)	2.384 (2	2)	P(1)	C(11)	1.828 (7)
HfCL(d	nne) 2C-H	y	2	$D_{eq}(\Lambda)$	Hf	Cl(2)	2.364 (2)	P(1)	C(21)	1.815 (8)
Hf Hf	0.000	0.24198(2)	0.250	3.226 (8)	HI D(1)	P(1)	2.808 (2) P)	C(10)	C(10)	1.222 (9)
Cl(1)	0.0141 (2)	0.2175(1)	0.1331(1)	4.84 (5)	F(I)	C(10)	1.020 (4	5)				
Cl(2)	0.1677 (2)	0.3229 (1)	0.2762 (1)	5.87 (6)	HfCL	(dppp)						
P(1)	-0.1527 (2)	0.1197 (1)	0.21394 (9)	3.11 (4)	Hf	CI(I)	2.398 (5)	P(1)	C(12I)	1.85 (2))
C(10)	-0.0217 (7)	0.0407 (4)	0.2159 (4)	3.6 (2)	Hf	Cl(2)	2.336 (5) 6)	P(1)	C(131)	1.83 (2)
C(11)	-0.2528 (7)	0.1168 (4)	0.1258 (4)	3.6 (2)	Hf	Cl(3)	2.398 (5)	P(2)	C(211)	1.81 (2	ý
C(12)	-0.2710(8)	0.0515 (5)	0.0877 (4)	4.6 (2)	Hf	Cl(4)	2.357 (7)	P(2)	C(221)	1.80 (2)
C(13)	-0.3305(8)	0.0509 (6)	0.0212(4)	5.6 (2)	Hf	P(1)	2.821 (6)	P(2)	C(231)	1.85 (2))
C(14)	-0.3031(0)	0.1767(6)	-0.00/8 (5)	5.9 (2)	Hf	P(2)	2.825 (5)	C(131)	C(300)	1.57 (3)
C(16)	-0.3147(9)	0.1796(5)	0.0988(5)	5.4 (2)	P(1)	C(111)	1.82 (2)	C(231)	C(300)	1.55 (3)
C(21)	-0.2535(7)	0.0966(4)	0.2698(3)	3.5(2)	LICI	(dmma)	20.11					
C(22)	-0.3096(7)	0.1551(5)	0.2959(4)	4.6 (2)		(uppe).	$2C_7\Pi_8$	150 44 (0)	D(I)	110	B (1)/	74.90 (5)
C(23)	- 0·3943 (8)	0.1416 (5)	0.3354 (4)	5.1 (2)	C(1)	HI	C(1)	158.44 (8)	P(1)		P(1)	/4.80 (3)
C(24)	-0.4223 (8)	0.0708 (6)	0.3505 (4)	5.3 (2)	C(1)	лі Hf	C(2)	93.11 (9)	н Hf	P(1)	C(10)	100.0(2) 117.8(3)
C(25)	-0·3656 (9)	0.0134 (5)	0.3250 (5)	6.2 (2)	C(1)	Hf	P(1)	77.81 (7)	Hf	P(1)	C(21)	118.2(2)
C(26)	<i>−</i> 0·2789 (8)	0.0253 (5)	0.2855 (5)	5.3 (2)	C(1)	Hf	P(1)'	85.07 (7)	C(10)		C(1)	103.8 (3)
C(51)	0.589(1)	0.4048 (9)	1.0348 (8)	11.4 (4)	Cl(2)	Hf	Cl(2)'	102.74 (8)	C(10)	P(1)	C(21)	106.6 (4)
C(52)	0.4791 (9)	0.3816 (6)	1.0593 (6)	7.2 (3)	Cl(2)	Hſ	$P(\dot{l})'$	164.95 (7)	C(11)	P(1)	C(21)	103.2 (3)
C(53)	0.491(1)	0.30/3(3)	1.1317(3)	$6 \cdot 2 (2)$	Cl(2)	Hſ	P(1)	91.50 (7)	P(1)	C(10)	C(10)'	110.8 (5)
C(54)	0.300(1) 0.277(1)	0.3383 (6)	1.1048 (6)	7.3(3) 7.4(3)								
C(55)	0.269(1)	0.3494(7)	1.0357(7)	8.0 (3)	HfCl₄	(dppp)						
C(57)	0.369(1)	0.3725(6)	1.0108(5)	7.1(3)	Cl(1)	Hf	Cl(2)	98·2 (2)	Hf	P(1)	C(111)	122.0 (6)
0(21)	0.007 (1)	0 0 1 = 0 (0)	1 0100 (0)		Cl(1)	Hf	Cl(3)	161.2 (2)	Hf	P(1)	C(121)	108.1 (5)
HfCl₄(d	lppp)				C(1)	HI	CI(4)	91·0 (2)		P(1)	C(131)	111.7(8)
Hf	0.46628 (8)	0.14695 (6)	0.13519 (5)	3.80 (2)	C(1)	лі Hf	P(1) = P(2)	78·4 (2) 86·6 (2)		P(1)	C(121)	101.2 (9)
Cl(1)	0.4186 (5)	0.3118 (4)	0.1309 (3)	5.2 (2)	C(2)	Hf	C(3)	95.0 (2)	C(121)	P(1)	C(131)	102.5(8)
Cl(2)	0.4823 (6)	0.1351 (4)	0.0028 (3)	6.8 (2)	Cl(2)	Hf	Cl(4)	104.0 (2)	Hf	P(2)	C(211)	108.6 (6)
Cl(3)	0.5547(5)	-0.0025(3)	0.1838 (3)	5.3 (2)	Cl(2)	Hſ	P(Ì)	87.1 (2)	Hf	P(2)	C(221)	122.9 (5)
CI(4)	0.2776(5)	0.1039(4)	0.1132(4)	$\frac{1}{2}$ (2)	Cl(2)	Hf	P(2)	163.6 (2)	Hf	P(2)	C(231)	109.3 (7)
P(1) P(2)	0.0810(5)	0.2324(4) 0.1582(4)	0.1881(3) 0.3096(3)	3.9 (1)	Cl(3)	Hf	Cl(4)	98·8 (2)	C(211)	P(2)	C(221)	102 (1)
C(11)	0.809(1)	0.1382(4)	0.197(1)	4.7 (5)	Cl(3)	Hf	P(1)	89.0 (2)	C(211)	P(2)	C(231)	103.8 (8)
C(112)	0.913(2)	0.210(2)	0.242(1)	8.9 (8)	Cl(3)	Hf	P(2)	77.2(2)	C(221)	P(2)	C(231)	108.2 (8)
C(113)	1.012 (2)	0.163 (2)	0.243(2)	10 (1)	CI(4)	HI Uf	P(1) P(2)	165.7(2)	P(1) P(2)	C(131)	C(300)	113(1)
C(114)	1.007 (2)	0.071 (2)	0.203 (1)	8.4 (8)	P(1)	н Нf	P(2)	78.5 (2)	C(131)	C(231)	C(300)	118 (1)
C(115)	0.905 (2)	0.032 (1)	0.163 (1)	7·3 (7)	1(1)		1(2)	70 5 (2)	0(151)	C(300)	C(251)	110 (1)
C(116)	0.798 (2)	0.081 (1)	0.159 (1)	6·0 (6)								
C(121)	0.683(1)	0.323(1)	0.110(1)	3.6 (5)								
C(122)	0.634(2)	0.418(1) 0.496(2)	0.120(1)	0.2 (7) 7.6 (8)	seve	ral Zi	r and	Hf tetral	nalide	addu	icts w	vith such
C(123) C(124)	0.034(2) 0.659(2)	0.480(2) 0.457(2)	-0.015(2)	8.4 (8)	phos	phine	s hav	e been sv	nthesi	zed tl	hev h	ave been
C(124)	0.000(2) 0.702(2)	0.364(2)	-0.023(1)	8.8 (8)	char	actori	790 0	nly by e	ement	tal ar		s and/or
C(126)	0.711(2)	0.294(2)	0.041(1)	6.9 (6)	Cilai		·	iny by c		lai ai	lary sc	s and/or
C(131)	0.706 (2)	0·303 (1)́	0.283 (1)	4.9 (6)	spec	trosco	pic	techniques	. 11	ne e	arnest	report
C(211)	0.461 (2)	0.053 (1)	0.343 (1)	4.3 (5)	appe	ared	in 19	65 and d	escrib	ed th	e syn	thesis of
C(212)	0.532 (2)	-0·027 (1)	0.383 (1)	6.0 (7)	seve	ral co	mpou	nds of for	mula	MCl₄((LL),	where M
C(213)	0.481(2)	-0.113(1)	0.399(1)	6·3 (6)	= 7	r Hf	` ¹ anć	$I_{I} = dr$	ne [1	2-his	dinhe	-nvlnhos
C(214)	0.368(2)	-0.114(1)	0.383(1)	5·8 (6)	nhin	a) ath	, unc	and drag	Γ1 2	biold	inhon	uloroino)
C(215)	0.297(2) 0.345(2)	-0.036(1)	0.340(1) 0.327(1)	0·0 (7) 4·4 (6)	pinn	ojetna	anej	and upac	_L1,2		ipnen	
C(221)	0.462(2)	0.251(1)	0.358(1)	3.7 (5)	etha	nej, a	s well	as those o	t torm	iula Z	rBr ₄ (LL) (Ray
C(222)	0.353(2)	0.290 (1)	0.316 (1)	5.4 (6)	& \	Westla	ind,	1965). In	1979) the	synt	thesis of
C(223)	0.306 (2)	0.358 (1)	0.357 (1)	6.4 (6)	ZrC	(dm	ne)	Idmne = 1	2-bis(dimet	hvlnh	osphino)-
C(224)	0.374 (2)	0·382 (1)	0.441 (1)	6.8 (6)	ath a	-4(norted (D		Dott		Wrafard
C(225)	0.482 (2)	0.342 (1)	0.481 (1)	6.3 (7)		nej w	as re	ported (B	cally,		a x	
C(226)	0.522 (2)	0.278 (1)	0.439 (1)	5.4 (6)	19/9), and later the synthesis of the hafnium analog							
C(231)	0.669(2)	0.150(1)	0.363(1)	4·3 (5)	(Fise	her &	ι Wrei	ford, 1980)	. The	last t	wo co	mpounds
C(300)	0.738 (2)	0.241 (1)	0.202 (1)	4.1 (0)	were	used	l as s	starting m	ateria	ls for	the	synthesis

schemes are also defined. Unit-cell diagrams are shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

Little structural information has been available for simple MX_4 adducts (M = Zr, Hf and X = F, Cl, Br, I) with mono- or bidentate phosphines. Although are not well characterized. Synthesis of $ZrCl_4(dbpe)$ [dbpe = 1,2-bis(di-*n*-butylphosphino)ethane] was also reported (Gordon & Wallbridge, 1986).

The two compounds reported here are the first structurally characterized examples of simple, sixcoordinate Hf tetrahalide adducts with phosphines. The structures of the two compounds are fundamentally similar except for the size of the chelate ring. In (1) the five-membered ring has a twisted envelope conformation while in (2) the six-membered ring exhibits a twisted boat conformation. The Hf—Cl distances for the Cl atoms *trans* to the P atoms are somewhat shorter and have a mean value of $2 \cdot 364$ (2) and $2 \cdot 346$ (10) Å for (1) and (2), respectively. The values for other Hf—Cl distances are $2 \cdot 384$ (4) and $2 \cdot 398$ (5) Å, respectively for (1) and (2). The mean Hf—P distances are $2 \cdot 808$ (2) and $2 \cdot 823$ (2) Å for (1)



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the HfCl₄(dppe) fragment of (a) compound (1) and (b) compound (2). HfCl₄(dppe) is located on the twofold symmetry axis. Atoms are represented by displacement ellipsoids at the 50% level. The C atoms are given arbitrary displacement parameters for clarity.



Fig. 2. (a) Unit-cell diagram for (1) and (b) unit-cell diagram for (2). Atoms are represented by displacement ellipsoids at the 20% level. (1) b across, c down, a towards viewer; (2) a across, c down, b towards viewer.

and (2), respectively. The P—Hf—P angle of $78 \cdot 5^{\circ}$ for (2) compared with $74 \cdot 80 (5)^{\circ}$ for (1) is consistent with the larger chelate ring size. The Hf—P bond distances for (1) and (2) compare well with those found in ZrMe₄(dmpe)₂ and Zr(CH₂Ph)₄(dmpe) (Girolami, Wilkinson, Thornton-Pett & Hursthouse, 1984), but the P—Hf—P angle for (1) is about 5° larger.

We thank the National Science Foundation for support.

References

- BEATTY, R. P., DATTA, S. & WREFORD, S. S. (1979). Inorg. Chem. 18, 3139-3145.
- Enraf-Nonius (1979). Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- FISCHER, M. B. & WREFORD, S. S. (1980). J. Organomet. Chem. 188, 353-366.
- GIROLAMI, G. S. WILKINSON, G., THORNTON-PETT, M. & HURSTHOUSE, M. B. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2789–2794.
- GORDON, D. & WALLBRIDGE, M. G. H. (1986). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 111, 77-81.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- NORTH, A. C. T., PHILLIPS, D. C. & MATHEWS, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- RAY, T. C. & WESTLAND, A. D. (1965). Inorg. Chem. 4, 1501-1504.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1986). SHELX86. Program for the solution of crystal structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany.
- WENGROVIUS, J. H., SCHROCK, R. R. & DAY, C. S. (1980). Inorg. Chem. 20, 1844–1849.